Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES Washington, DC

In the Matter of)
Distribution of the 2009 Satellite Royalty Funds) Docket No. 2011-8 CRB SD 2009
)

COMMENTS OF PROGRAM SUPPLIERS ON THE EXISTENCE OF A CONTROVERSY

The Motion Picture Association of America, Inc. ("MPAA"), on behalf of its represented member companies and other MPAA-represented producers and distributors of syndicated series, including non-team sports, movies, and specials broadcast by television stations whose signals are carried as distant signals by satellite systems ("Program Suppliers"), hereby submits its comments in response to the request for comments published by the Copyright Royalty Judges ("Judges") on September 6, 2011. *See Distribution of the 2009 Satellite Royalty Funds*, 76 Fed. Reg. 55123 (September 6, 2011) ("*Notice*"). The *Notice* requests comments regarding: (1) whether there are any reasonable objections to Phase I Parties' Motion for Partial Distribution, ("Motion"), concerning the 2009 satellite royalty fund ("2009 Fund"), and (2) the existence of outstanding Phase I and Phase II controversies for the 2009 Fund.

Program Suppliers address the first issue jointly, with the other Phase I Parties, in separately-filed comments supporting the Motion. *See* Comments of the Phase I Parties (filed on October 6, 2011) ("Joint Comments"). As the Phase I Parties note in the Motion and in their Joint Comments, sound policy considerations favor early partial distribution of royalties. Because there is often a substantial delay between the time that royalties are collected and the conclusion

of distribution proceedings, both Congress and the Copyright Office ("Office") have recognized the importance of distributing the maximum amount of copyright royalties to copyright owners at the earliest possible date. *See* Motion at 3-5. These same policy considerations favor an initial distribution of the 2009 Fund at this time, and should be given considerable weight by the Judges in addressing the Motion.

Program Suppliers address the second issue identified in the *Notice* below.

I. Controversies With Respect to the 2009 Satellite Royalty Fund

A. Phase I Controversies

As discussed in the Joint Comments, although a controversy currently exists among the Phase I Parties as to the 2009 Fund, the Phase I Parties are in the process of negotiating an agreement that they believe will resolve the existing controversy. Therefore, Program Suppliers do not anticipate that a hearing will be necessary to resolve Phase I controversies as to the 2009 Fund.

B. Phase II Controversies

MPAA-represented Program Suppliers have claims to royalties awarded for movies, syndicated programming, and special programs, including non-team sports programming, as to the 2009 Fund. To the extent a claimant not represented by MPAA makes a claim that could impact the claims of the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers in the course of these comments, a Phase II controversy would exist against the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers. With respect to the 2009 Fund, the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers are aware of Phase II controversies in the Program Suppliers category between the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers and those Program Suppliers represented by the Broadcaster Claimants Group ("BCG") and Independent Producers Group ("IPG"). MPAA has not reached a Phase II settlement with either BCG or IPG as to the 2009 Fund, and it anticipates that a hearing will be necessary to

resolve those controversies. MPAA-represented Program Suppliers estimate that a Phase II reserve amount of \$500,000 is more than adequate to satisfy BCG and IPG's Phase II claims in the Program Suppliers category. If Phase II hearings are held, MPAA intends to participate fully in those hearings. MPAA will represent the producers and/or distributors of syndicated series, including non-team sports, movies, and specials who have agreed to representation by MPAA.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory O. Olaniran

D.C. Bar No. 455784

Lucy Holmes Plovnick

D.C. Bar No. 488752

MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP

1818 N Street NW, 8th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20036

Telephone: (202) 355-7917

Facsimile: (202) 355-7887

goo@msk.com

lhp@msk.com

Dated: October 6, 2011

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 6th day of October, 2011, a copy of the foregoing Comments of Program Suppliers on the Existence of Controversies was sent by Federal Express to the individuals listed below:

Lucy Holmes Plonnick
Lucy Holmes Plovnick

JOINT SPORTS CLAIMANTS

Robert Alan Garrett Stephen K. Marsh Marco A. Palmieri ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004-1206

INDEPENDENT PRODUCERS GROUP

Brian D. Boydston PICK & BOYDSTON LLP 617 S. Olive Street, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90014

BROADCASTER CLAIMANTS GROUP

John I. Stewart, Jr.
Jennifer H. Burdman
Ann Mace
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004-2595

MUSIC CLAIMANTS

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF COMPOSERS, BROADCAST MUSIC, INC. AUTHORS AND PUBLISHERS

Joan M. McGivern Samuel Mosenkis ASCAP One Lincoln Plaza New York, NY 10023 Joseph J. DiMona BROADCAST MUSIC, INC. 7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007-0030

Michael J. Remington Jeffrey J. Lopez Philip J. Cardinale DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP 1500 K Street, NW – Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

SESAC, INC.

John C. Beiter ZUMWALT, ALMON & HAYES PLLC 1014 16th Avenue South Nashville, TN 37212

DEVOTIONAL CLAIMANTS

Clifford M. Harrington PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Arnold P. Lutzker Allison L. Rapp Jeannette M. Carmadella LUTZKER & LUTZKER LLP 1233 20th Street, NW, Suite 703 Washington, DC 20036

Edward S. Hammerman HAMMERMAN, PLLC 5335 Wisconsin Avenue, NW Suite 440 Washington, DC 20015-2052 W. Thad Adams III SHUMAKER, LOOP, & KENDRICK LLP First Citizens Bank Plaza 128 South Tryon Street Suite 1800 Charlotte, NC 28202-5013

Wendell R. Bird, P.C.
Jonathan T. McCants
BIRD, LOECHL, BRITTAIN & McCANTS,
LLC
1150 Monarch Plaza
3414 Peachtree Road, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30326